

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/016,831	12/12/2001	Mark Andrew Boys	P1364	7488	
24739	7590 02/02/2005		EXAMINER		
CENTRAL	COAST PATENT AC	HEWITT II, CALVIN L			
PO BOX 187			ARTIRUT	DA OCD MINADEO	
AROMAS, CA 95004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		
			D. TE . (. II ED . 02/02/200	DATE MAIL ED. 02/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/016,831	BOYS, MARK AND	DREW			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	\			
		Calvin L Hewitt II	3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	Iress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reprivation of the provision of	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	December 2001.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3 and 15</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. Ints have been received in a literative documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/016,831 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-24 have been examined.

Claim Objections

Claims 3 and 15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 1 is directed to a system for making direct donations that comprises a server, a data store and software to facilitate viewing of information. Claim 3, which depends from claim 1, recites an IVR. Claim 3 is in improper dependent form because using an IVR a user does not "view" information. Claim 15 is also objected as claim 13, from which it depends, recites language similar to claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,831 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is directed to a system for making direct donations that comprises a server, a data store and software to facilitate viewing of information. Claim 3, which depends from claim 1, recites an IVR. Therefore, it is not clear to one of ordinary skill the scope of claim 1, as a user interacting with Applicant's system via IVR does not "view" information. Claims 13 and 15 are also rejected as they recite language similar to claims 1 and 3, respectively. In addition, claims 1 and 13 recite "direct donation to the selected recipient", while claims 4 and 16, which depend from claims 1 and 16, respectively, recite "financial institutions" for facilitating donations to a recipient. Therefore, it is not clear to one of ordinary skill what the Applicant means by "direct".

Claims 2-12 and 14-24 are also rejected as they depend from claims 1 and 13, respectively.

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 4, 5, 13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Gruber et al., US Patent Application 2002/0029179.

As per claims 1, 4, 5, 13, 16 and 17, Gruber et al. teach a system for direct donation comprising:

- server accessible by potential donors (figure 1)
- data store accessible to the server and storing information about potential recipients pre-qualified for donations (figures 1, 2, and 8-10)
- software suite enabling a donor to view information about recipients and enabling a donor to a make a donation to the selected recipient (figures 2 and 8-10)

 setting up accounts for donors and recipients interacting with a financial institution for crediting a recipient account and debiting a donor account (figures 5 and 6)

Page 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 14, 15, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gruber et al., US Patent Application Publication 2002/0029179.

As per claims 2 and 14, Gruber et al. teach a system for making donations over the internet (figures 1, 2 and 8-10). Gruber et al. also teach displaying recipients (figures 3 and 5), "clicking on a recipient" (figure 3), and learning more about a recipient at a recipient's webpage (page 3, paragraph 37). Hypertext and hyperlinks are old and well known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to construct a web document where a user can click on a recipient and be transferred to a webpage where a user can learn more about the selected recipient.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,831

Art Unit: 3621

Page 6

As per claims 3 and 15, Gruber et al. teach a system for making donations over the internet (figures 1, 2 and 8-10). Specifically, users interacting with the Gruber et al. system communicate with a server (figure 1; page 2, paragraph 33). A well-known method for connecting with a server is via IVR.

As per claims 6, 7, 18 and 19, Gruber et al. teach a system for making donations over the internet (figures 1, 2, and 8-10). Gruber et al. also teach setting up accounts for donors and recipients and interacting with a financial institution for crediting a recipient account and debiting a donor account (figures 5 and 6). Regarding viewing accounts, once a client as set-up an account with a financial institution, such as a bank, it is well-known that said client has access to said account. Gruber et al. disclose tax-exempt entities such as charities and nonprofit organizations (page 3, paragraphs 33 and 36). In order to qualify as "tax-exempt", an organization must file with the IRS to determine eligibility under 501(c)(3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to make this information available to donors on the recipient's webpage in order to encourage said donor to make donations (page 3, paragraphs 36 and 37)

9. Claims 8-12 and 20-24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gruber et al., US Patent Application Publication 2002/0029179 and Cohen, U.S. Patent No. 6,422,462.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,831

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 8-12 and 20-24, Gruber et al. teach a system for making donations over the internet (figures 1, 2 and 8-10). It is well known to those of ordinary skill in charitable giving and charitable organizations to donate monetary and non-monetary gifts. However, Gruber et al. do not specifically recite redeemable documents associated with an identity for redeeming a donation. Cohen teaches a system for creating redeemable and non-transferable cards comprising creating virtual identities for a recipient which must be associated with the redeemable card to implement redemption (abstract; column 3, lines 18-27; column/line 3/55-4/11; column 5, lines 10-16; column/line 7/65-8/57; column 10, 4-13; column/line 11/57-12/15; column 13, lines 38-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Gruber et al. and Cohen in order to prevent an organization from using a donor's gift in a manner other unauthorized by the donor ('462, column/line 7/65-8/58).

Page 7

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - Perrone teaches voice control of a server using IVR
 - Burke teaches an automatic system for making contributions

Application/Control Number: 10/016,831

Art Unit: 3621

 Gruber et al. teach a method and system for fundraising comprising educating potential donors on an organization seeking donations

Page 8

- Shade et al. teach a method and system for charitable giving
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (703) 308-8057. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2100

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry and after-final communications).

or:

(703) 746-5532 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Page 9

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7th Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Calvin Loyd Hewitt II

January 26, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600